

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/507,172	02/18/2000	Robert D. Tolles	3600.0881-D5	9356	
7	590 05/09/2002				
Applied Materials Inc Patent Counsel PO Box 450A			EXAMINER		
			ELEY, TIMOTHY V		
Santa Clara, C.	A 95052		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			3724		
			DATE MAILED: 05/09/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.		Applicant(s)	1			
		09/507,172	Т	OLLES ET AL	0/			
		Examiner	Δ	Art Unit				
		Timothy V Eley	•	3724				
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover s	heet with the cor	respondence addr	ess			
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, within the statutory minim will apply and will expire SI, cause the application to b	or, may a reply be timely turn of thirty (30) days w K (6) MONTHS from the ecome ABANDONED (filled: ill be considered timely: mailing date of this comr (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 J	<u>lanuary 2002</u> .						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-fina	al					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
•	Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are pending in the application) .						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-18,28 and 32-35 is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) 29-31,36 and 37 is/are allowed.	. • • • •		a a salas de la composição de la composição La composição de la compo				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-5,8-11,19-26 and 28</u> is/are rejected							
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirem	ent.					
, —	on Papers	•			. 4.			
9) 🗌 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		د . د مسیر در مو				
10) 🔲 🏻	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b) objected	to by the Exami	ner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held	in abeyance: See	37 CFR 1.85(a)				
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office actio	on.		•			
12) 🗌 7	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr	n priority under 35 (U.S.C. § 119(a)-((d) or (f).				
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	eres (Teles						
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been receiv	red.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17	′.2(a)).		age			
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	•			nolication			
a)	□ The translation of the foreign language pro cknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	visional application	n has been recei	ved.				
Attachment	(s)				A STATE			
2) X Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>4</u>	5) 🔲 1		PTO-413) Paper No(s).tent Application (PTO-				
I.S. Patent and Tr	ademark Office		•	2.14				

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C.

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claims 8-11, 19-26, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - a. In claim 8, lines 7 and 8, "threaded . . . screws" is awkwardly worded. Apparently, --of-- should be inserted after "ones".
 - b. The following phrases in the claims lack proper antecedent basis since they were not properly earlier referred to:
 - i. "said polishing surface" (claim 11, line 3). Which polishing surface?
 - ii. "said carousel"(claim 19, line 6).
 - iii. "said selected polishing surfaces"(claim 19, line 7).
 - iv. "said head"(claim 24, line 2).

Application/Control Number: 09/507,172 Page 3

Art Unit: 3724

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morgan, III et al in view of Gill Jr. 180 et al
 - a. Morgan, III discloses a polishing apparatus comprising: a rotatable member rotatable about a first axis; a polishing surface arranged at an angular position about the first axis; at least one substrate head assembly supported on the rotatable member and capable of supporting thereon a substrate in contact with the polishing surface and affording relative linear movement between the polishing surface and the substrate head assembly while the substrate supported on the substrate head is engaged with the polishing surface. See specifically figures 1 and 2.
 - b. Morgan, III does not disclose at least two polishing surfaces arranged at respective angular positions about the first axis.
 - c. Gill, Jr. '180 et al disclose two polishing surface arranged at respective angular positions about a first axis of a

Art Unit: 3724

rotatable member, the polishing surfaces being usable in sequence.

- d. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the Morgan, III apparatus by providing at least two polishing surfaces arranged at respective angular positions about the first axis of the rotatable member as taught by Gill, Jr. et al in order to polish a workpiece in sequence.
- 5. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morgan, III et al in view of Gill Jr. '180 et al as applied to claims 1-3 above, and further in view of Gill Jr. '854.
 - a. Morgan, III is explained above.
 - b. Morgan, III does not disclose the substrate head assembly comprising at least two substrate head assemblies.
 - c. Gill Jr. '854 discloses two substrate head assemblies for holding respective substrates for allow greater output of the apparatus.
 - d. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have further modified the Morgan, III et al apparatus by providing at least two substrate head assemblies for holding respective substrates for allow greater output of the apparatus as taught by Gill Jr. '854.

Art Unit: 3724

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 6 and 7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. Claims 8-11 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. Claims 19-26, and 28 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 9. Claims 29-31,36, and 37 are allowed.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy V Eley whose telephone number is 703-308-1824. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this

Art Unit: 3724

application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305- 3579 for regular communications and 703-305-3588 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

Timothy V Eley Primary Examiner Art Unit 3724

tve May 2, 2002